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SP2

Another team has provided us with critique on our work, and we admit the weaknesses of our artifacts. Therefore, we have made several changes to our work.

***First is Artifact №3***. Feedback on our impact map was mainly positive: as the other team mentioned, we had managed to keep it clean and simple. Thus, we did not need to make any changes to the map

The only weakness was that we did not specify explicitly time constraints and goal measurement. The project is due to May of 2020, and the measurement for our goal is the number of students who leave feedback.

***Next is the product backlog*.** The main issue was that we did not have user stories corresponding to several backlog items. To solve this problem, we have added the missing user stories.

One more weakness was that our user stories were not in the canonical form (As …, I want … so that …). Therefore we made changes to our user stories so that now they are all in the canonical form

There were a few more weak points in the backlog, but they follow from the weak parts of our INVEST criteria, hence, those will be not considered in this report document.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Epics** | **Features** | **Functionals** |
| Leave feedback | Anonymity | Encrypt user data in system |
| Pattern of surveys | Create common list of questions for creating new survey |
| Prevent multiple voting | Store anonymous tokens of users that voted in survey |
| View feedback | Different access rights | Students can only view their left feedbacks |
| TA’s can view feedback only about themselves |
| Professor can only view feedback about him/herself and his/her TA’s |
| DoE can view detailed feedback about everyone |
| View detailed report on one person | Charts (e.g. average rating over time) |
| Statistics (average, median, distribution, number of votes, num of written feedback, etc.) |
| View cross-report on several people | Make a rating |
| Make charts of one of statistics over time |

***INVEST criteria*.** Although the other team did not mention it, in our opinion the main weakness is that we made overcomplicated criteria for a small project without justified reasons. All other issues with the INVEST table follow from different opinion between our teams on what to consider more important in our table.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **US** | **I** | **N** | **V** | **E** | **S** | **T** |
| **10** | 0 | F | 0 | 0 | T | 1 |
| **1** | 1 | F | 0 | 0 | T | 0 |
| **7** | 2 | T | 0 | 0 | T | 0 |
| **2** | 3 | F | 0 | 2 | F | 1 |
| **5** | 3 | F | 0 | 0 | T | 1 |
| **6** | 3 | F | 0 | 0 | F | 1 |
| **8** | 0 | T | 1 | 0 | T | 1 |
| **3** | 1 | F | 1 | 0 | T | 0 |
| **4** | 1 | F | 1 | 0 | T | 0 |
| **9** | 1 | T | 1 | 0 | T | 0 |
| **11** | 2 | T | 1 | 0 | T | 1 |
| **12** | 2 | T | 1 | 0 | T | 0 |